

EASA	COMMENT RESPONSE DOCUMENT
	EASA PAD No. 14-049 [Published on 10 March 2014 and officially closed for comments on 07 April 2014]

Commenter 1: Aer Lingus – Daniel Fanning – 11/3/2014

Comment # 1

Aer Lingus have reviewed PAD 14-049 and wish to query one part of the required actions/compliance times.

In the PAD under Required Actions and Compliance Times, paragraph (2) notes that the initial inspection i.a.w. SB A320-52-1163 should be per the timescales in Table 3, however the interval is stated as being at “not exceeding 12 months”.

Aer Lingus’ query is whether the 12 month repeat inspection is necessary if there are findings on an inspection which would drive replacement of the upper and lower RH and LH attachment assemblies. Per SB A320-52-1163 section 1.E(2) CONF 002, if the attachment studs are replaced the next inspection is due after 72 months.

Can Airbus please review the PAD along with SB A320-52-1163 and the above reasoning and take into consideration before issuing the AD.

EASA response:

Comment agreed. In case parts are replaced with new parts, the next inspection is due within 72 months after replacement. The 12 months interval remains valid when no parts are replaced. The Final AD has been amended accordingly.